

# MANAGEMENT OF COLLETOTRICHUM CAPSICI (SYD.) BUTLER AND BISBY CAUSING FRUIT ROT OF CHILLI USING FERMENTED LEAF EXTRACTS

### P. Nishanthi<sup>1</sup>, R. Gobika<sup>1</sup>, M. Charumathi<sup>1</sup>, H. Ann Suji<sup>2</sup> and T. Suthin Raj<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1\*</sup>Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Chidambaram, Tamil Nadu, India.
<sup>2</sup>Centre for Advance Studies in Marine Biology, Annamalai University, Chidambaram, Tamil Nadu, India.

#### Abstract

Chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.) is one of the important commercial vegetable crop which is grown extensively almost throughout the world. It originated in the American tropics which propagated widely. Its crop suffers due to more than 40 fungal species, of which *Colletotrichum capsici* is one of the most destructive species causing seedling rot or damping off at seedling stage in a nursery, leaf spot or die back at different stages of crop growth and fruit rot or anthracnose at fruiting stage leading to reduced fruit yield and marketability. The fungus *Colletotrichum capsici* infects both unripe and ripe chilli fruits and survives on seed as acervuli and microsclerotia. Infection of *C. capsici* will be higher in mature stage than in the early stage of chilli plant. The use of chemical fungicide is a common practice for the control of anthracnose. However, continuous use of chemical fungicides lead to negative impacts on the environment, soil and human health. So, development of new alternative strategies for management of fungal diseases is very essential in the changing climate scenario. In this regard, plant products appear to be an in-exhaustive source showing potential fungicidal activity which serves as harmless pesticides. In this study, use of *Azadirachta indica, Datura stramonium, Ocimum sanctum, Polyalthia longifolia and Vinca rosea* were used which were fungitoxic to *C. capsici*. Among the five fermented leaf extracts tested against *C. capsici, Azadirachta indica* alone reduced the fruit rot incidence (@ 3%) and increased plant height, number of fruits and yield significantly.

Key words: Capsicum annum, Fruit rot, Colletotrichum capsici, Fermented leaf extracts.

#### Introduction

India is "The home of spices" and its spices are world famous for their medicinal values. Chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.) is one of the major spice crops of India and it stands  $3^{rd}$  in its production (Saxena *et al.*, 2016). It is grown throughout the world for its green and red ripe fruits as it is lucrative. Green chillies are rich source of vitamins, especially vitamin A, C, B1 and B2. Pungency in chilli, which is due to the presence of capsicin, a digestive stimulant and a cure for rheumatic troubles. Chilli is an important economic crop worldwide and uncompromising component of the cuisines of tropical and subtropical countries (Saxena *et al.*, 2016). One of the important constraints for low productivity of chilli is the biotic stress caused by fungi, bacteria and viruses, the major being the fungal disease (Kumar and

\*Author for correspondence : E-mail: suthinagri@gmail.com

Venkateswarlu, 2011). The fungus *Colletotrichum capsici* infects both unripe (green) and ripe (red chilli) fruits. Disease symptoms can occur on leaves, stems and both pre- and post-harvest fruits and survives on seed as acervuli and micro sclerotia (Raj and Christopher, 2009).

Typical anthracnose symptoms on chilli fruit include sunken necrotic tissue with concentric rings of acervuli. Infection of *C. capsici* is higher at the mature fruit stage than in the early fruit stage. The fungal pathogen is both seed borne and air borne and affects seed germination and vigour to a greater extent. Its damage is not only in the field but also during storage and is one of the main cause for post-harvest decay of chilli.

In India during 2016-17, area under chilli cultivation was recorded as 8.31 million ha with a total production of 18.72 million tons (Anon, 2018). The estimated loss due to this disease ranged from 8 to 60% in different parts of India, although different chemical fungicides are being recommended and used to combat the disease. However, continuous use of chemical fungicides has negative effects on biodiversity, environment and human health (Avinash and Hosmani, 2012; Suthin Raj et al., 2013b). To overcome these undesirable effects, one of the approaches could be the use of plant based biological products to control fruit rot of chilli. Use of plant extract is reported to be safe due to its easy decomposition, non - residual activity and non- phytotoxic properties. The antifungal activity of plant extracts could be due to the presence of secondary plant metabolites like trepinoides, phenols, flavonoids and alkaloids (Mohamed and El-Hadidy, 2008; Suthin Raj et al., 2013a). Therefore, in present study, attempts were made to manage this disease using botanicals such as Azadirachta indica, Datura stramonium, Ocimum sanctum, Polyalthia longifolia and Vinca rosea which were, fungitoxic against C. capsici under in vitro condition.

#### **Materias and Methods**

# **Isolation of Pathogen**

The pathogens were isolated from various localities of Tamil Nadu on potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium from the diseased specimen showing typical symptoms. The infected portion of the fruit was cut into small bits, surface sterilized in 0.1% mercuric chloride solution for 30 sec., washed in repeated changes of sterile distilled water and plated on to PDA medium in sterilized petri plates. They were then incubated at room temperature  $(28\pm2^{\circ}C)$  for five days and were observed for fungal growth. The fungus was purified by single spore isolation technique (Rangaswami, 1958).

# **Preparation of Fermented Leaf Extracts**

Plant materials such as *Polyalthia longifolia*, *Azadirachta indica*, *Pongamia pinnata*, dried leaves and weeds were collected. A pot was filled with as much as plant material. Enough quantity of water was poured until the leaves were fully immersed in it. Then it was sealed with the help of a khada cloth and was allowed to ferment. The pot was the placed out of direct sunlight and was allowed to ferment for a period of 3 months. After 3 months, the pH was tested. The solutions pH should be between 3.2 to 3.7. Then the liquid was strained off into an another container and it which is called as the fermented leaf extract. These extracts were used as foliar sprays to prevent diseases in plants. They can also be stored in a dark place with a relatively uniform temperature and can be stored upto 150 days.

Table 1	Table 1: Evaluation of different fermented leaf extracts against chilli fruit rot caused by Colletotrichum capsici under in vitro.	srmente	d leaf e:	xtracts a	igainst c	hilli fru	it rot ca	used by	r Colletu	otrichur	n capsi	ci under	in vitre	<i>.</i> .					
			Daico	Poison food technique (mm)	minda	( <b>m</b> m) e						Inl	Inhibition zone (mm)	zone (n	(un				
			nem I		nhiim				A	Agar well method	method	1			Pa	<b>Paper disc assay</b>	c assay		
S.No.	S.No. Fermented leaf extract		Mycelial	1	%	% decrease	se	M	Mycelial		%	% decrease	se	Μ	Mycelial		%	% decrease	še
			growth		٥V.	over control	ol	00	growth		00	over control	lo	00	growth		0 <b>V</b> 6	over control	ol lo
		5 %	5% 10% 20%	20%	5 %	10% $20%$ $5%$ $10%$ $20%$	20%	5 %	10%	20%	5 % 10 % 20 % 5 % 10 % 20 %	10%	20%	5 %	10%	20%	5 %	5% 10% 20%	20%
1.	Polyalthia longifolia   10.5°	$10.5^{\circ}$	9.8°	$8.6^{\circ}$	88.3	89.1	90.4	8.9°	9.8°	12.5°	90.1	89.1	86.1	8.2°	9.4°	$10.7^{\circ}$	90.8	89.5	88.1
5.	Azadirachta indica	$8.9^{a}$	$7.6^{a}$	$6.4^{a}$	90.1	91.5	92.8	$11.8^{a}$	13.1 <sup>a</sup>	$15.3^{a}$	86.8	85.4	83.0	$10.5^{\mathrm{a}}$	$12.1^{a}$	$14.2^{a}$	88.3	86.5	84.2
З.	Pongamia pinnata	9.7 <sup>b</sup>	8.8 <sup>b</sup>	7.7 <sup>b</sup>	89.2	90.2	91.4	$10.6^{b}$	12.7 <sup>b</sup>	14.9 <sup>b</sup>	88.2	85.8	83.4	9.4 <sup>b</sup>	$10.3^{b}$	$12.6^{\circ}$	89.5	88.5	86.0
4	Dried leaves	14.3°	$12.6^{e}$	$10.3^{e}$	84.1	86.0	88.5	6.4°	7.3°	8.7°	92.8	91.8	90.3	$6.1^{\circ}$	7.2°	8.2°	93.2	92.0	90.8
5.	Weeds	$12.4^{d}$	$11.9^{d}$	9.9 <sup>d</sup>	86.2	86.7	89.0	7.8 <sup>d</sup>	8.2 <sup>d</sup>	$10.1^{d}$	91.3	90.8	88.7	7.3 <sup>d</sup>	$8.1^{d}$	9.5 <sup>d</sup>	91.8	91.0	89.4
	Control	90.0	90.06	90.0				90.0	90.0	90.0				90.0	90.0	90.0			

#### Poison food technique

PDA medium was prepared in a 100 ml conical flask and was autoclaved. Fermented leaf extracts at quantities of 5, 10, 15 and 20 ml were added to 45, 40, 35 and 30 ml aliquots respectively in flasks so as to get final concentrations of 5, 10, 15 and 20 percent. The incorporation of fungicide Hexaconazole @ 0.2% in the medium was used for comparison. PDA medium without extract served as the control. Each plate was inoculated at the centre with a ten days old culture disc (8 mm) of pathogen and incubated at room temperature ( $28\pm2^{\circ}$ C). Three replications were maintained for each treatment. The diameter of the mycelial growth of the pathogen was measured after seven days.

# Agar well method

Fermented leaf extracts and resistance inducing chemicals were tested using agar well method. Twenty ml of PDA medium was seeded with 3 ml of spore suspension ( $5 \times 10^{-5}$ ). Wells were made on agar surface with a 5mm cork borer. 1 ml of fermented leaf extract was poured separately into the wells using a sterile syringe at different concentrations, *viz.*, 5, 10, 15 and 20 percent. Hexaconazole @ 0.2 percent was used for comparison. The plates were incubated at  $28\pm2^{\circ}$ C for seven days and observed for fungal growth. Three replications were maintained for each treatment. The plates were observed for zone formation around the wells. The zone of inhibition was calculated by measuring the diameter of the inhibition zone around the well (in mm) including the well diameter.

#### Paper disc method

Desired concentration of fermented leaf extracts were impregnated into sterile filter paper disc (5 mm dia). The extract discs were then placed on seeded agar plates and incubated at room temperature  $(28\pm2^{\circ}C)$ . Three replications were maintained for each treatment. The inhibition zone of fungal growth around the treated sterile filter paper discs were measured and recorded.

# *In vitro* evaluation of fermented leaf extracts by paper towel method

This method was used to know the growth promoting effect of seed treatments with fermented leaf extract on chilli. Randomly selected 100 seeds were treated with fermented leaf extracts and they were placed on two layers of moist germination paper and rolled carefully to avoid any excess pressure on seeds. These towels were incubated in a seed germinator at  $20\pm2^{\circ}$ C for 15 days. Similarly, the control set was maintained using nontreated seeds of chilli. Three replications were maintained for each treatment separately. The first count was taken on 15<sup>th</sup> day. All morphologically normal seedlings were counted and germination was expressed in percentage. The seedling vigour was recorded by taking ten normal seedlings randomly from the germination test. The root length was recorded at collar region up to tip of the primary root. The mean root length was recorded in cm. Same seedlings were used for the measurement of shoot length. The measurement of shoot length was taken from the collar region to the point of junction of cotyledons. The mean shoot length was recorded in cm. Vigour index was calculated by the following formula given by Abdul Baki and Anderson, (1973):

Vigour index = (Shoot length + Root length )  $\times$  Germination %

# Evaluation of fermented leaf extract for the management of fruit rot diseases in the field

The field experiment was conducted to test the efficacy of fermented leaf extract against fruit rot diseases on the variety K2 during October 2018 to March 2019 in the farmer's field at Sivapuri, Chidambaram, Cuddalore district, Tamil Nadu. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications with a plot size of 25 percent area. Thirty days old seedlings were planted into field plots in rows with a spacing of  $35 \times 45$  cm. Three replicate plots were maintained for each treatment. Treatment application details and experimental observations were the same as in green house experiments with the below mentioned treatment schedule:

- $T_1$  Application of *Polyalthia longifolia* fermented leaf extract alone @ 2% (seedling dip + spraying @ 60 and 90 DAT).
- $T_2$  Application of *Polyalthia longifolia* fermented leaf extract alone @ 3% (seedling dip + spraying @ 60 and 90 DAT).
- $T_3$  Application of *Polyalthia longifolia* fermented leaf extract alone @ 4% (seedling dip + spraying @ 60 and 90 DAT).
- $T_4$  Application of *Azadirachtia indica* fermented leaf extract alone @ 2% (seedling dip + spraying @ 60 and 90 DAT).
- $T_5$  Application of *Azadirachtia indica* fermented leaf extract alone @ 3% (seedling dip + spraying @ 60 and 90 DAT).
- T<sub>6</sub> Application of *Azadirachtia indica* fermented leaf extract alone @ 4% (seedling dip + spraying @ 60 and 90 DAT).
- $T_7$  Application of *Pongamia pinnata* fermented leaf extract alone @ 2% (seedling dip + spraying @ 60 and 90 DAT).

- $T_8$  Application of *Pongamia pinnata* fermented leaf extract alone @ 3% (seedling dip + spraying @ 60 and 90 DAT).
- $T_9$  Application of *Pongamia pinnata* fermented leaf extract alone @ 4% (seedling dip + spraying @ 60 and 90 DAT).
- $T_{10}$  Application of Dried leaves fermented leaf extract alone @ 2% (seedling dip + spraying @ 60 and 90 DAT).
- $T_{11}$  Application of Dried leaves fermented leaf extract alone @ 3% (seedling dip + spraying @ 60 and 90 DAT).
- $T_{12}$  Application of Dried leaves fermented leaf extract alone @ 4% (seedling dip + spraying @ 60 and 90 DAT).
- $T_{13}$  Application of Weeds fermented leaf extract alone @ 2% (seedling dip + spraying @ 60 and 90 DAT).
- $T_{14}$  Application of Weeds fermented leaf extract alone@ 3% (seedling dip + spraying @ 60 and 90 DAT).
- $T_{15}$  Application of Weeds fermented leaf extract alone @ 4% (seedling dip + spraying @ 60 and 90 DAT).
- $T_{16}$  Application of mancozeb (0.2%) (seedling dip + spraying @ 50 and 75 DAT).

T-<sub>17</sub> – Control.

The fruit rot incidence was assessed on the 150<sup>th</sup> day after transplanting. The intensity of fruit rot was calculated as Percent Disease Index (PDI) following the grade chart proposed by Ravinder Reddy, (1982) and using the formula given by Mc Kinney, (1923) as

described as earlier. Biometric assessment *viz.*, mean shoot length, mean no. of flower/plant, mean no. of fruits/ plant, mean no. of fruit length (cm) and fruit yield t/ha were assessed and recorded.

# **Results and Discussion**

Various fermented leaf extracts were selected and evaluated for their antifungal activity. The extracts of *Azadirachta indica* at a highest concentration (20%) recorded minimum mycelial growth (6.4 mm) which was followed by *Pongamia pinnata* recording a mycelial growth of 7.7 mm. All dried leaf extracts at a highest concentration of 20% recorded maximum mycelial growth (10.3 mm) (Table 1).

Various fermented leaf extracts were selected and evaluated for their antimicrobial activity by two methods such as agar well method and paper disc assay (Table 1). *Azadirachta indica* at a highest concentration of 20% maximally reduced the mycelial growth in both methods which recorded 15.3 and 14.2 percent inhibition respectively. It was followed by *Pongamia pinnata* which recorded 14.9 and 12.6 percent inhibition in both methods respectively. All the concentration of dried leaf extracts recorded a minimum percent inhibition than all the other extracts.

Results of table 2 revealed that treatment  $T_6$  showed a maximum seed germination 95.70% and was significantly superior over all the other treatments. A lowest percent germination 59.45% was reported in  $T_{-17}$ .

Application of *Azardiracta indica* fermented neem leaf extract alone @3% (seedling dip + spraying @ 60

Table 2: Effect of fermented leaf extract on seedling growth parameters of chilli by paper towel method.

The No.	No Treatment		Mean shoot	Mean root	Vigour
Tr. No	Ireatment	%	length (cm)	length (cm)	index
T <sub>1</sub>	Polyalthia longifolia fermented leaf extract alone @ 2%	75.50 <sup>gh</sup>	12.54 <sup>ef</sup>	7.30 <sup>efg</sup>	1497.92 <sup>j</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	Polyalthia longifolia fermented leaf extract alone @ 3%	76.33 <sup>g</sup>	13.32°	7.70 <sup>def</sup>	1604.46 <sup>i</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	Polyalthia longifolia fermented leaf extract alone @ 4%	81.67 <sup>f</sup>	15.11 <sup>d</sup>	8.00 <sup>cde</sup>	1887.39 <sup>h</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	Azadirachtia indica fermented leaf extract alone @ 2%	91.40°	18.30 <sup>bc</sup>	9.00 <sup>ab</sup>	2495.22°
T <sub>5</sub>	Azadirachtia indica fermented leaf extract alone @ 3%	94.10 <sup>b</sup>	19.10 <sup>b</sup>	9.20 <sup>ab</sup>	2663.03 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	Azadirachtia indica fermented leaf extract alone @ 4%	95.70ª	21.60ª	9.90ª	3014.55ª
T <sub>7</sub>	Pongamia pinnata fermented leaf extract alone @ 2%	86.30°	15.11 <sup>d</sup>	8.30 <sup>bcd</sup>	2020.28g
T <sub>8</sub>	Pongamia pinnata fermented leaf extract alone @ 3%	88.70 <sup>d</sup>	17.41 °	8.40 <sup>bcd</sup>	2289.35°
T <sub>9</sub>	Pongamia pinnata fermented leaf extract alone @ 4%	89.87 <sup>d</sup>	19.40 <sup>b</sup>	8.90 <sup>bc</sup>	2543.32 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>10</sub>	Dried leaves fermented leaf extract alone @ 2%	65.55 <sup>1</sup>	7.12 <sup>jk</sup>	5.10 <sup>h</sup>	801.02 <sup>p</sup>
T <sub>11</sub>	Dried leaves fermented leaf extract alone @ 3%	67.12 <sup>k</sup>	8.34 <sup>j</sup>	5.30 <sup>h</sup>	915.52°
T <sub>12</sub>	Dried leaves fermented leaf extract alone @ 4%	69.88 <sup>j</sup>	9.64 <sup>i</sup>	5.60 <sup>h</sup>	1064.97 <sup>n</sup>
T <sub>13</sub>	Weeds fermented leaf extract alone @ 2%	70.43 <sup>j</sup>	10.12 <sup>hi</sup>	6.70 <sup>g</sup>	1184.63 <sup>m</sup>
T <sub>14</sub>	Weeds fermented leaf extract alone @ 3%	72.38 <sup>i</sup>	10.92 <sup>gh</sup>	6.80 <sup>fg</sup>	1282.57 <sup>1</sup>
T <sub>15</sub>	Weeds fermented leaf extract alone @ 4%	74.33 <sup>h</sup>	11.89 <sup>fg</sup>	7.00 <sup>fg</sup>	1404.09 <sup>k</sup>
T <sub>16</sub>	Mancozeb (0.2%)	85.54°	17.34°	8.50 <sup>bcd</sup>	2210.35 <sup>f</sup>
T <sub>17</sub>	Control	59.45 <sup>m</sup>	6.33 <sup>k</sup>	4.70 <sup>h</sup>	655.73ª

			-		• • •			<b>A</b> (
Tr. No	Treatment	Mean plant height (cm)	Mean no. of flowers / plant	Mean no. of fruits / plant	Mean fruit length (cm)	Fruit yield (g/plant)	Fruit rot incidence	% Increase over control
T <sub>1</sub>	Polyalthia longifolia fermented leaf extract alone @ 2%	95.21 <sup>h</sup>	169 <sup>f</sup>	93 <sup>g</sup>	8.31 <sup>abcd</sup>	342 <sup>f</sup>	7.87 <sup>f</sup> (16.29)	50.40
T <sub>2</sub>	Polyalthia longifolia fermented leaf extract alone @ 3%	97.56 <sup>g</sup>	171°	95 <sup>g</sup>	8.49 <sup>abcd</sup>	348 <sup>ef</sup>	6.51°(14.78)	74.60
T <sub>3</sub>	Polyalthia longifolia fermented leaf extract alone @ 4%	99.21 <sup>f</sup>	175 <sup>d</sup>	97 <sup>f</sup>	8.53 <sup>abcd</sup>	351°	6.18°(14.39)	84.89
<b>T</b> <sub>4</sub>	Azadirachtia indica fermented leaf extract alone @ 2%	107.47°	179°	107 <sup>b</sup>	8.71 <sup>abc</sup>	369 <sup>bc</sup>	6.78°(15.09)	57.27
T <sub>5</sub>	Azadirachtia indica fermented leaf extract alone @ 3%	109.31 <sup>b</sup>	181 <sup>b</sup>	111ª	8.83 <sup>ab</sup>	373 <sup>b</sup>	5.77 <sup>b</sup> (13.89)	77.48
Т <sub>6</sub>	Azadirachtia indica fermented leaf extract alone @ 4%	111.08ª	185ª	112ª	9.01ª	377ª	4.99ª(12.90)	87.80
T <sub>7</sub>	<i>Pongamia pinnata</i> fermented leaf extract alone @ 2%	101.68 <sup>e</sup>	171°	100 <sup>e</sup>	8.59 <sup>abcd</sup>	355 <sup>e</sup>	7.32°(16.29)	53.87
T <sub>8</sub>	<i>Pongamia pinnata</i> fermented leaf extract alone @ 3%	104.83 <sup>d</sup>	175 <sup>d</sup>	102 <sup>d</sup>	8.63 <sup>abcd</sup>	360 <sup>d</sup>	6.02 <sup>d</sup> (14.79)	76.11
Т <sub>9</sub>	<i>Pongamia pinnata</i> fermented leaf extract alone @ 4%	106.96°	178°	105 <sup>e</sup>	8.65 <sup>abcd</sup>	364°	5.91°(14.39)	85.55
T <sub>10</sub>	Dried leaves fermented leaf extract alone @ 2%	87.32 <sup>k</sup>	155 <sup>1</sup>	72 <sup>m</sup>	6.21 <sup>e</sup>	251 <sup>k</sup>	9.73 <sup>k</sup> (18.17)	38.68
T <sub>11</sub>	Dried leaves fermented leaf extract alone @ 3%	89.56 <sup>j</sup>	157 <sup>k</sup>	77 <sup>1</sup>	6.57 <sup>e</sup>	281 <sup>j</sup>	9.21 <sup>;</sup> (17.66)	64.06
T <sub>12</sub>	Dried leaves fermented leaf extract alone @ 4%	90.12 <sup>j</sup>	159 <sup>j</sup>	80 <sup>k</sup>	6.71 <sup>e</sup>	297 <sup>i</sup>	8.86 <sup>i</sup> (17.31)	78.34
T <sub>13</sub>	Weeds fermented leaf extract alone @ 2%	92.77 <sup>i</sup>	161 <sup>i</sup>	85 <sup>j</sup>	7.87 <sup>d</sup>	312 <sup>h</sup>	8.68 <sup>h</sup> (17.13)	45.30
T <sub>14</sub>	Weeds fermented leaf extract alone @ 3%	93.52 <sup>i</sup>	164 <sup>h</sup>	87 <sup>i</sup>	7.99 <sup>cd</sup>	320 <sup>gh</sup>	8.16 <sup>h</sup> (16.59)	67.10
T <sub>15</sub>	Weeds fermented leaf extract alone @ 4%	93.11 <sup>i</sup>	167 <sup>g</sup>	90 <sup>h</sup>	8.11 <sup>bcd</sup>	333 <sup>g</sup>	7.38 <sup>g</sup> (15.76)	81.96
T <sub>16</sub>	Mancozeb (0.2%)	105.42 <sup>d</sup>	174 <sup>d</sup>	104°	8.61 <sup>abcd</sup>	362 <sup>d</sup>	5.48 <sup>d</sup> (13.53)	86.80
T <sub>17</sub>	Control	80.32 <sup>1</sup>	149 <sup>m</sup>	62 <sup>n</sup>	5.03 <sup>f</sup>	233 <sup>1</sup>	40.92 <sup>l</sup> (39.76)	

Table 3: Effect of fermented leaf extract on seedling growth parameters of chilli by paper towel method.

and 90 DAT) ( $T_6$ ) significantly reduced the fruit rot incidence to 87.80 percent increase over control at 150 days after planting respectively than other treatments. All treatments significantly enhanced growth and fruit yield when compared to control. Among the treatments, application of *Azardiracta indica* fermented neem leaf extract alone @3% (seedling dip + spraying @ 60 and 90 DAT) was found to significantly increase the mean plant height (111.08 cm), number of flowers/plant (185 nos), mean number of fruits/plant (112 nos), mean fruit length (9.01 cm) and fruit yield (377 g/plant) when compared to all other treatments (Table 3).

The use of the plant products for the management of plant diseases has a special significance in the context of avoiding environmental pollution, accumulation of toxic substances in the produce and development of resistance by the plant pathogens.

Screening of fermented leaf extracts (*Polyalthia longifolia*, *Azadirachta indica*, *Pongamia pinnata*, dried leaves and weeds) for their antifungal property against *C. capsici* revealed that plant species possessed a very high antifungal activity. Yadav *et al.*, (2017) obtained maximum reduction in disease (69.30%) with minimum percent disease (17.24) and maximum fruit yield (8.23 q/ha) with the application of propiconazole (0.1%) as seed treatment and foliar spray with neem leaf extracts (5%) resulting in decreased PDI and increased fruit yield. These findings were in agreement with the results of

Hedge et al., (2001) who reported the efficacy of plant extracts (chilli, ocimum, neem, onion, clerodendron) and fungicides (0.05% carbendazim, 0.2% mancozeb) in controlling C.capsici causing fruit rot of chilli and reported an inhibitory activity of all the plant extracts and fungicide tested against the pathogen. Musakhan and Zacharia, (2017) revealed that two neem based plant products viz., Neem seed kernel extract (NSKE) and neem oil could inhibit the radial growth and sporulation of C. capsici. This effect of neem extract on mycelial growth and sporulation of C. capsici was also reported by Singh and Korpraditskul, (1999) and Suthin Raj et al., 2014 which supports their findings. According to Begum et al., (2016), garlic and neem showed a complete inhibition of mycelial growth of C. capsici at 0.1% and 0.2% concentrations. This findings is in accordance with the findings were Bharadwaj and Sahu, (2014) who reported that plant extracts of Ocimum, ginger, garlic, turmeric and onion extracts inhibited the growth and sporulation of Colletotrichum spp.

In conclusion, this study shows that fermented leaf extracts of neem have strong antifungal activities against *Colletotrichm capsici*. The extracts can be used as protective pesticides since mycelia inhibition of the pathogen was effective and also easily biodegradable and eco- friendly compared to chemicals. This may suggest their potential for future formulation into products for controlling anthracnose diseases of chilli, papaya and mango.

# References

- Abdul Baki, A.A. and J.P. anderson (1973). Vigour determination in soybean seeds by multiple criteria. *Crop. Sci.*, **13:** 630 -633.
- Begum, A.J.M., P. Selvaraju and A. Vijayakumar (2016). Evaluation of antifungal activity of seaweed extract (Turbinaria conoides) against *Fusarium oxysporum*. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science.*, 8(1): 60-6.
- Bharadwaj, N. and R.K. Sahu (2014). Evaluation of some fungicides, Botanicals and essential oils against the fungus *Colletotrichum falcatum* causing red rot of sugarcane. *The Bioscan.*, 9(1): 175-178.
- Kumar, A. and B. Venkateswarlu (2011). Abiotic stress in plant mechanisms and adaptations. Rijeka, Croatia: Intech Publish, Janeza Trdine, 9.
- Mckinney, H.H. (1923). A new system of growing grading plant diseases. J. Agric. Res., 26: 195-218.
- Mohamed, N.H. and A.M. El-Hadidy (2008). Studies of biologically active constituents of *Verbasum eremobium* Murb and its inducing resistance against some diseases

of cucumber. *Egyptian Journal of Phytopathology.*, **36**(1): 133-150.

- Musakhan, P.R. and S. Zacharia (2017). Effect of neem based plant products and plant extracts against anthracnose of chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). *Journal of pharmacognosy and phytochemistry.*, 6(5):171-174.
- Raj, S.T. and J.D. Christopher (2009). Effect of bio-control agents and fungicides against *Colletotrichum capsici* causing fruit rot of chilli. *Ann. Plant Prot. Sci.*, **17(1):** 143-145.
- Ravinder Reddy, M. (1982). Evaluation of fungicides against major diseases of chilli. M.Sc. (Ag.), Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agric. Univ., Coimbatore, India, 64.
- Sahitya, U.L., R. Srideepthi, P.D. Kasim, P. Suneetha and M.S.R. Krishna (2014). Anthracnose, prevalent disease in Capsicum. *Research Journal of Pharmaceutical*, *Biological and Chemical Sciences.*, 5(3): 1583-1604.
- Saxena, A., R. Raghuwanshi, V.K. Gupta and H.B. Singh (2016). Chilli Anthracnose: The epidemiology and management. *Frontiers in Microbiology.*, 7: 1527.
- Saxena Amirta, Richa Raghuwanshi, V.K. Gupta and H.B. Singh (2016). Chilli anthracnose: the epidemiology and management *Front Microbiol.*, 1527.
- Singh, H. and V. Korpraditskul (1999). Evaluation of some plant extracts for the control of *Colletotrichum capsici* (Syd.) Butler and Bisby, the causal agent of chilli anthracnose in *Azadirahta indica* A. Juss, eds Singh R.S. and Saxena R.C. (Enfield, NH:Science publishers Inc): 131-426
- Suthin Raj, T., D. John Christopher and H. Ann Suji (2013a). Evaluation of virulence and methods of inoculation of *Colletotrichum capsici* (SYD) Butler and Bisby. *International journal of Agricultural sciences.*, 9(2): 802-805.
- Suthin Raj, T., D. John Christopher and H. Ann Suji (2013b). Effect of plant products on the *in vitro* production of cellulolytic and pectinolytic enzymes by *Colletotrichum capsici. Pl. Dis. Res.*, 23(1): 24-27.
- Suthin Raj, T., D. John Christopher and H. Ann Suji (2014). Morphological, pathogenic and genetic variability in *Colletotrichum capsici* causing fruit rot of chilli in Tamilnadu, India. *African journal of biotechnology.*, 13(17): 1786-1790.
- Suthin Raj, T., D. John Christopher and S. Usha rani (2009). Effect of plant products on the incidence and yield parameters of chilli fruit rot. *Advances in plant sciences.*, **22(1):** 31-32.
- Triaca, T., H.C. Caviao, M.R. Pansera, L. Venturin and V.C. Sartori (2018). Detection of antifungal activity of plant extracts on *Alternaria citrus. Summa Phytopathol.*, 44(2):185-188
- Yadav, A.L., R.P. Ghasolia, S. Choudhary and V.K. Yadav (2017). Survey of chilli fruit rot; potential threat to chilli crops a focus on Jaipur district. *International Journal of Chemical Studies.*, 5(4):1556-1557.